



PATENT  
Attorney Docket Nos. 254/254;  
OI7011402001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of: ) Group Art Unit: 2191  
SRIVASTAVA, Alok K. et al. ) Examiner: Rampuria, Satish S.  
Serial No.: 09/872,647 ) Confirmation No.: 1722  
Filed: May 31, 2001 )  
For: **METHOD AND MECHANISM FOR** )  
**DIAGNOSING COMPUTER** )  
**APPLICATIONS USING TRACES** )  
)

RESPONSE TO FINAL OFFICE ACTION AND REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

**MAIL STOP AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

*Entered  
per 1/26  
C.B.*

*7/31/06*

Dear Sir:

The undersigned agent engaged in a telephone interview with Examiner S. Rampuria and Supervisory Primary Examiner W. Zhen on at 13:00PM EST on May 3, 2006. The undersigned agent presented arguments that the cited passages do not suggest, disclose, or teach all the claimed limitations of claim 1. It is the agent's understanding that Examiners agreed with this position, with the Examiners further recommending that Applicants provide a written response to the Final Office Action and a formal request for reconsideration, which is respectfully set forth below.

Remarks begin on page 2 of this paper.